

# Community Day School

1180 E St  
Merced, CA 95340  
(209) 381-2803  
Cesar Hernandez, Principal  
Dr. RoseMary Parga Duran, Superintendent



## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2010–11 School Year

*Published During 2011–12*

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

## I. Data and Access

### Ed-Data Partnership Web Site

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2011–12)

School		District	
School Name	Merced City School District Community Day	District Name	Merced City Elementary
Street	Merced City School District	Phone Number	(209) 385-6600
City, State, Zip	Merced, CA, 95340	Web Site	www.mcsd.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	(209) 724-2530	Superintendent	RoseMary Parga Duran
Principal	Mr. Cesar Hernandez	E-mail Address	rpargaduran@mcsd.k12.ca.us
E-mail Address	chernandez@mcsd.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	24657716118889

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010–11)

Merced City Community Day School (CDS) began operation in August of 2008. The School is located just north and adjacent to the Student and Family Services Center. It is also Northwest and adjacent to Stowell Elementary School. We are a K-8 alternative school that ensures that every student excels academically, builds character and is a productive member of our community. We will not allow our students to be less than what they are capable of being.

Community Day School 2010-11  
 School Accountability Report Card

**Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010–11)**

Community Day School parents are always welcome to visit our site. Every students who attends our campus is scheduled a transition meeting with parents, administrators and teachers. We review our school rules and expectations. During our meetings we encouraged our parents to get involved with their child's education.

**Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010–11)**

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	1	Grade 8	5
Grade 1	1	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	3	Grade 9	0
Grade 3	1	Grade 10	0
Grade 4	3	Grade 11	0
Grade 5	4	Grade 12	0
Grade 6	0	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	3	Total Enrollment	21

**Student Enrollment by Subgroup (School Year 2010–11)**

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	19.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%
Asian	0.0%
Filipino	0.0%
Hispanic or Latino	52.4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%
White	23.8%
Two or More Races	0.0%

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	95.2%
English Learners	9.5%
Students with Disabilities	9.5%

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	Avg. Class Size	2008–09 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2009–10 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2010–11 Number of Classes*		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	0				0							
1	0				0							
2	0				0							
3	0				0							
4	0				0							
5	0				0							
6	0				0							
Other	5	2			7	2			7	3		

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	Avg. Class Size	2008–09 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2009–10 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2010–11 Number of Classes*		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	8.0	2	0	0	7.0	1	0	0	7.0	1	0	0
Mathematics	8.0	1	0	0	7.0	1	0	0	7.0	1	0	0
Science	8.0	1	0	0	7.0	1	0	0	7.0	1	0	0
Social Science	8.0	1	0	0	7.0	1	0	0	7.0	1	0	0

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

### III. School Climate

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2010–11)

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Community Day School. The school strives to be in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations. The schools’ disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during an emergency. Fire and lockdown drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year. During each staff meeting we discuss areas of concerns to ensure the best and safest place to be.

#### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School 2008–09	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	District 2008–09	District 2009–10	District 2010–11
Suspensions	0	.40	126	10.4	12.2	13.0
Expulsions	4.5	1.0	0	.20	1.7	1.0

\* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.

### IV. School Facilities

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011–12)

Community Day School (CDS) is composed of 5 portable classrooms consisting of two middle school classrooms, an elementary classroom, an administration building and a room for support services. In addition, a portable restroom facility including a girls and boys restroom and a staff restroom is on campus. A covered picnic table area and blacktop space with basketball, tetherball and four square is also provided. CDS is fenced off from the adjacent facilities.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011–12)

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2, 2011

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces		X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation		X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical		X			

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs		X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences		X			
<b>Overall Rating</b>	Exemplary				

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2008–09	School 2009–10	School 2010–11	District 2010–11
With Full Credential	3	3	3	437
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	3

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010–11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* Web page at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	97.6	2.4
High-Poverty Schools in District	97.6	2.4
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0	0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010–11)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		21
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.40	
Library Media Teacher (librarian)		
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	.875	
Psychologist	.10	
Social Worker		
Nurse	.10	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		
Other		

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

\* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011–12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September, 2011

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	Percent students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	Reading California, Houghton Mifflin, 2003 Literature & Language Arts, Holt, 2003	Yes	0
Mathematics	California Math, Houghton Mifflin, 2009 California Mathematics, Holt, 2008	Yes	0
Science	California Science, MacMillan/McGraw, 2008 California Science, Holt, 207	Yes	0
History-Social Science	California Vistas, MacMillan/McGraw, 2007 Ancient World-World Explorer-American Journey, Holt, 2006	Yes	0
Foreign Language			
Health	Health Wave, Health Wave, 1997 Teen Health, Glencoe/McGraw, 1997	Yes	0
Visual and Performing Arts	Arts In Action, Holt, 1985	Yes	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009–10)

Level	Total Expenditures	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic /	Average Teacher
-------	--------------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

	Per Pupil	/ Restricted)	Unrestricted)	Salary
School Site	\$23,400	\$18,533	\$4,867	62,545
District			\$4,216	\$73,601
Percent Difference – School Site and District			.86	.15
State			\$5,455	\$69,419
Percent Difference – School Site and State			1.12	.09

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

**Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the *CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

**Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010–11)**

In addition to general revenue funding from the State, the District receives state and federal categorical grants and allocations for special programs. This includes, but is not limited to the following categorical, special education, and support programs: Title I, Part A - Basic Grant, Title I, Program Improvement, Title II, Part A - Teacher Quality Title II, Part D - Technology Title III, Part A - Limited English Proficiency, Title IV, Part A - Safe and Drug Free Schools, Special Education, Community Based Education and Tutoring, Home-to-School Transportation, Class Size Reduction, Gifted and Talented Education, Economic Impact Aid and Quality Education Investment Act grant. Economic Impact Aid/State Compensatory Education & Economic Impact Aid/Limited English Proficient.

**Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009–10)**

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,212	\$41,692
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$72,129	\$68,251
Highest Teacher Salary	\$83,980	\$86,582

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$106,504	\$108,334
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$104,126	\$111,791
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$113,648
Superintendent Salary	\$175,000	\$180,492
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	56.06%	42.00%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.87%	6.00%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

## IX. Student Performance

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)
---------	--

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

	School			District			State		
	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11	2008–09	2009–10	2010–11
English-Language Arts	8%	18%	12%	43%	45%	46%	49%	52%	54%
Mathematics	6%	8%	15%	42%	47%	50%	46%	48%	50%
Science	4%	24%	18%	40%	48%	49%	50%	54%	57%
History-Social Science	0%	12%	8%	28%	33%	41%	41%	44%	48%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History- Social Science
All Students in the LEA	46%	50%	49%	41%
All Students at the School	12%	15%	18%	8%
Male	15%	15%	27%	0%
Female	0%	0%	0%	0%
Black or African American	0%	0%	0%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	10%	10%	8%	0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	0%	0%	0%	0%
Two or More Races	0%	0%	0%	0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	13%	16%	20%	9%

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

English Learners	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students with Disabilities	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010–11)**

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE *PFT* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tq/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
7	40.00%	40.00%	20.00%
9	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**X. Accountability**

**Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE *API Web page* at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

**Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison**

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The *statewide API rank* ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The *similar schools API rank* reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
----------	------	------	------

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

Statewide			B
Similar Schools			B

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

**Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison**

Group	Actual API Change 2008–09	Actual API Change 2009–10	Actual API Change 2010–11
All Students at the School		B	
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races	N/D		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

**Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2011 Growth API Comparison**

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API
-------	-----------------

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All Students at the School			7,946	763	4,683,676	778
Black or African American			469	688	317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native			25	870	33,774	733
Asian			895	802	398,869	898
Filipino			61	932	123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino			4,829	738	2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			18	761	26,953	764
White			1,436	826	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races			153	840	76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			6,249	734	2,731,843	726
English Learners			2,790	712	1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities			759	557	521,815	595

### Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE *AYP* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010–11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

Met Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A

**Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011–12)**

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE *PI Status Determinations Web* page: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		14
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		77.8%

Note: Cells shaded in black do not require data.

## XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Every year specific days are dedicated to staff development for teachers and other professional staff. Credentialed/licensed staff members receive training in core curricular areas, instructional strategies and technology integration. District conducted staff development include:

**2008-2009**

K-5 California Mathematics  
6-8 Holt California  
CELDT Training

Community Day School 2010-11  
School Accountability Report Card

CPR Training/First Aid  
Soar To Success  
Math/Destination  
Math/Expressions  
Fast Forward  
Read 180  
Data Director

**2009-2010**

Special Education Staff Development: 3 days  
Special Education SETPD: 4 days  
Autism: 3 days  
New Teacher Orientation: 2 days  
Dataworks Step Up academy: 10 days  
English Learner Professional Development: 5 days  
DataDirector Assessment: 1 day  
Houghton Mifflin Math: 3 days  
Holt Math: 3 days  
Core + 30: 1 day  
Explicit Direct Instruction: 4 days  
Strategic Schooling: 4 days  
SETPD English Learners: 5 days  
English Learners Language Arts West Ed: 5 days  
Coach Training: 18 days  
CELDT Support Coaching: 10 days  
Benchmark/Pacing Committee: 2 day

**2010-2011**

CELDT Support Training: 3 days  
READ 180 System 44: 4 days  
Houghton Mifflin Mathematics Training: 10 days  
Muir QEIA Training: 3 days  
New Teacher Orientation: 2 days  
DataDirector Training: 5 days  
Professional Learning Communities Training Solution Tree: 2 days  
Crisis Prevention Intervention: 2 days  
DataWorks Explicit Direct Instruction Lesson Design Textbook Correlation: 9 days  
DataWORKS Instructional Leadership Instructional Effectiveness: 8 days  
DataWorks Concept & Skill Development Workshop: 2 days  
DataWorks Lesson Design & Lesson Study: 9 days  
DataWorks Administrators' Institute: 18 days  
MCOE Academic Performance Survey Training: 2 days  
Positive Behavioral Intervention Support Training: 6 days  
Autism Spectrum Disorder Training: 6 days  
English Learners Language Arts WestED: 3 days  
EL Identification & Assessment Training: 1 day  
State Testing Training: 3 days  
English Language Arts Houghton Mifflin Medallions/ELD Training: 4 days  
District Progress Assessment/Pacing Committee: 4 days  
CPR/First Aid Training: 1 day  
P-16 Math Forum: 1 day